

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CL

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DUCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
10/09/2001	George H. Small	104410-100 6750		
7590 08/11/2004		EXAMINER		
WINSTON & STRAWN		PHILOGENE, PEDRO		
ARTMENT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
N, DC 20005-3502		3732		
	7590 08/11/2004 & STRAWN PARTMENT ET, N.W.	2590 08/11/2004 & STRAWN PARTMENT ET, N.W.	2590 08/11/2004 EXAM 2 STRAWN PHILOGEN 2 PARTMENT ET, N.W. ART UNIT	

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No) .	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/972,802		SMALL, GEORGE H.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
	į	Pedro Philoge	ne	3732	
Th	e MAILING DATE of this communication app pply	ears on the cov	er sheet with the co	orrespondence ade	dress
A SHORT THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6 - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply d for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we eply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, accived by the Office later than three months after the mailing ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, ho y within the statutory n vill apply and will expir , cause the application	wever, may a reply be time ninimum of thirty (30) days e SIX (6) MONTHS from t to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely the mailing date of this co	
Status					
2a)⊠ This 3)⊡ Sind	ponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Arg</u> s action is FINAL . 2b) This ce this application is in condition for allowanted in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-fince except for f	ormal matters, pro		merits is
Disposition o	of Claims				
4a) 0 5)⊠ Clai 6)⊠ Clai 7)□ Clai	m(s) <u>1-11 and 17-30</u> is/are pending in the a Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw m(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are allowed. m(s) <u>17-30</u> is/are rejected. m(s) is/are objected to. m(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from conside			
Application F	Papers				
10)☐ The App Rep	specification is objected to by the Examiner drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception and acceptant may not request that any objection to the clacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction on the declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) odrawing(s) be held ion is required if the contractions is the contraction of the contraction of the contraction is required in the contraction of the co	d in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	• •
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	nowledgment is made of a claim for foreign b)	s have been red s have been red rity documents l u (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Application nave been receive 2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage
2) Notice of D 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) In Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) S)/Mail Date	·	Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te	o-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/972,802 Page 2

Art Unit: 3732

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reynolds et al. (5,938,666).

With respect to claim 26, Reynolds et al disclose an umbilical cod clamp (10) comprising a pair of arms (11,12,31,32) each having a length in a generally V-shaped configuration having rear end portions joined together at an apex thereof and having free forward end portions normally disposed in spaced apart relation; as best seen in FIG.1, and being movable towards each other for clamping an umbilical cord between the arms; a channel (21,22) that extends substantially along the length of at least one arm and is open at the free end portion thereof to facilitate the escape of fluid therefrom when the clamp is closed; as set forth in column 4, lines 32-58; and a locking portion (15,16,35,36) for securing the arms together when the clamp is closed; as best seen in Fig.2.

With respect to claims 27-30, Reynolds et al. disclose all the limitations; as set forth in column 4, lines 32-58; and as best seen in FIGS.1-9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/972,802

Art Unit: 3732

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Merritt (5,006,830) in view of Dorsey (6,132,447).

With respect to claims 17-25, it is noted that Merritt teaches all the limitations, except for an identification means comprising a gender-identifying color associated with portion of the clamp to facilitate indentification of the gender of the baby; as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Dorsey evidences the use of a device to provide umbilical devices with gender-identifying color coding (blue for boys and pink for girls) for readily recognizable indicia associated with newborn baby. Inasmuch as one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that this newborn baby indicia would also be advantageous subsequent to umbilical cord severing, it would have been obvious to similarly form the Merritt clamp with such color-coding. Whether the entire clamp is formed of blue or pink or a portion remains a neutral color is clearly a matter of personal preference with no criticality having been advanced for either choice.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-11 are allowed.

Response to Amendment

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the

references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Merritt teaches of an umbilical clamp with a color coded identification mark and Dorsey teaches of an umbilical scissor with color coded to identify the gender of the infant. Therefore, given the teaching Dorsey, it would have been obvious modify the clamp of Merritt, as taught by Dorsey to arrive at he clamp as claimed by applicant. Furthermore, applicant stated that the clamp remains on the baby's cord stump, applicant 's attention is directed to Merritt column 3, lines 54-63 where Merritt discloses that the clamp remains attached to the baby's cord stump.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/972,802 Page 5

Art Unit: 3732

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (703) 308-2252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pedro Philogene August 06, 2004

PEDRO PHILOGENE PRIMARY EXAMINER